UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America v. Case No. 7:10-CR-30-F-7 BILLY RAY MILLER Defendant Defendant	
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL	
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts that the defendant be detained pending trial.	
	Part I—Findings of Fact	
□ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
	of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.	
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	□ a minor victim	
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
□ (2)	·	
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the \Box date of conviction \Box the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternative Findings (A)	
I (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 USC 841 .	
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pres the defendant's appearance and the saf	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure cety of the community.
	A	lternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		ement of the Reasons for Detention submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
Z	☐ clear and convincing evidence to Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right	•
	assure the defendant's appearance and/or safet	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government's	The lack of stable employment case The lack of a suitable custodian
	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	Other: for other reasons stated in open co	burt.
	Part III—	Directions Regarding Detention
pendi: order	orrections facility separate, to the extent prang appeal. The defendant must be afforded	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On corney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility arshal for a court appearance.
Date:	06/15/2010	Plant for for Judge's Signature
		ů ů
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ
		Name and Title